



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/773,844	02/05/2004	J. Brandal Glenn	WHTM144	7179
75	590 07/07/2005		EXAMINER	
ROBERT L. SHAVER DYKAS, SHAVER & NIPPER, LLP			HARTMANN, GARY S	
PO BOX 877		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOISE, ID 83701-0877			3671	
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/773,844	GLENN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gary Hartmann	3671			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□	<u> </u>					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<u></u>					
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 21 June 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	a) accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See bettion is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment —	t(s)					
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inforn	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/14/4</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 6 and 7 are objected to because they are duplicates of claims 3 and 4, respectively. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tertinek et al. (U.S. Patent 4,323,980).

Tertinek et al. discloses a handle including a handle shaft (82) attached to a power tool at a point of attachment (at 84). There is a branch handle (136) parallel with and attached to the handle shaft. The branch handle includes grips (132). There is a resilient member (148) arranged as claimed in an attachment point between the branch handle and handle shaft (Figure 6, for example). Tertinek et al. does not teach the flange and teaches only a single point of attachment between the branch handle and handle shaft. Flanges are well known to use as attachment means and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a flange in order to, for example, obtain a configuration more readily replaceable than the welded attachment shown in Figure 7, for example. Regarding

Art Unit: 3671

the upper and lower attachment points, it is well known to use multiple attachment points. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included a lower attachment point with Tertinek et al. in order to, for example, increase stability of the branch handle. This would essentially be a duplication of parts, which is not a patentably distinguishing feature.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 571-272-6989. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 9am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gh

Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671